

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI




C. P. NO. 34(ND)12
CA. NO. 221/01/2016

PRESENT: B. S. V. PRAKASH KUMAR
HON'BLE MEMBER (J)

**ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF
THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON 16.06.2016 AT 02.00 P.M**

NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/s. Jai Bahadur Singh & Ors. V/s. Frick India Ltd. & ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1	MR. RAJUDOTTA	Sr. Adv.	Petitioners	
2	MR. DANIEL GEORGE,	Adv.		
3.	Ms. NN Sarwaria	Adv.		
4.	Mr. Perwinder	"	Petitioners	
5.	Mr. Abhishek	"	"	
6.	Ms. Rekha Palli	Sr. Adv	"	
7.	Mr. Anam Katarolia	"	Respondents 2-4	
8.	NPS Chawla	Adv.	Respondent No. 1	
9.	Mr. Vinay Tripathi	Adv		
10.	Mr. Sujay Datta	"		
11.	Mr. Anil	"		

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI BENCH
CA 221/C-I/2016 IN CP 34(ND)2012**

Present: B.S.V. Prakash Kumar, Member (Judicial)

In the matter of:

Companies Act, 1956 Sections 397, 398, 402 & 403 r.w Section 111A of the
Companies Act, 1956;

And

In the matter of:

Dr. Jang Bahadur Singh & Ors.

.....Petitioners

v.

Frick India Ltd. & Ors.

... Respondents

Present:

The counsel for the Petitioner: Sh. Rajiv Dutta, Senior Advocate, Mr. Daniel George, Mr. N.N. Sarvaria, Mr. Perwinder, Mr. Abhishek, Advocates.

The counsel for the Respondents: Smt. Rekha Palli and Shri. Arun Kathpalia, Senior Advocates, Mr. NPS Chawla, Mr. Vinay Tripathi, Mr. Sujoy Dutta, Advocates.

**Order
(Heard and Pronounced on 16.06.2016)**

The petitioner side filed an application for a direction to R1 Company not to give effect to the transfer placed before the company in respect to 20% shareholding purported to have been transferred to R2, R3, R4 & R5.

2. The respondents' side made a categorical statement that board resolution was passed on 14.06.2016 and transfer has been effected in the board meeting which was held on same day.

3. For having already transfer effected in the board meeting held on 14.06.2016, the relief asked in this Company Application being infructuous, this application is hereby dismissed giving liberty to the petitioner to take appropriate steps for filing another application as required under law.

4. Since the respondents side has made a statement that transfer has been effected in the records of R1 company, the respondents are hereby directed to supply copies of the same to the petitioner within 15 days hereof.

Sd/-

(B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR)

Member (Judicial)

Signed on 20.06.2016

New Delhi